

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	iO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,072		06/27/2003	Hector Goulet	P-1725-1	`7444	
23413	7590	01/06/2005		EXAMINER		
	R COLBU	•	MARCELO, EMMANUEL MONSAYAC			
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, -			3654		
				DATE MAILED: 01/06/200	DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	_	10/609,072	GOULET, HECTOR				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Emmanuel M Marce					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence addre	∌SS			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC unsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply were provided by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, inication. If days, a reply within the statutory minimulatory period will apply and will expire SIX will, by statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status	,						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on					
′=	•	b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-11 and 16</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1, 4, 8/1, 15/1 and 20</u> is/are Claim(s) <u>2, 3, 5-7, 8/3, 8/5, 8/7, 12, 1</u> Claim(s) are subject to restrict	6-19 is/are withdrawn from co rejected. 4, 15/3, 15/5, 15/7 and 15/14	is/are objected to.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	·	-, , -	• •			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of the pr	documents have been receive documents have been receive of the priority documents have nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	d. d in Application No been received in this National Sta ).	age			
Attachmen	ut(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F er No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · /	ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/609,072

Art Unit: 3654

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of species I (claims 1-8, 12-15 and 20) in the reply filed on October 6, 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 9-11 and 16-19 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 8/1, 13, 15/1 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent no. 3,954,226 to Pickering in view of U.S Patent no. 4,161,298 to Davis.

Pickering discloses the elements recited in claims 1 and 13 except for a power-operated driver and a power connector (not shown).

Davis discloses a winding machine using an electric motor 10 to quickly wind strapping.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,072

Art Unit: 3654

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Pickering with a power-operated driver and power connector as taught by Davis so that winding of the straps can be done more quickly without tiring out of the user.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 3, 5-7, 8/3, 8/5, 8/7, 12, 14, 15/3, 15/5, 15/7 and 15/14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8, 12-15 and 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection, e.g. lines 7-11 of claim 1 and lines 6-9 and 12-14 of claim 13.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/609,072

Art Unit: 3654

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel M Marcelo whose telephone number is 703-305-6597.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki can be reached on 703-308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Emmanuel M Marcelo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3654

emm January 4, 2005